

IB Assessment – Recording and Reporting

FINAL GRADES AND DESCRIPTORS

Grade	MYP Descriptor (Grades 9 & 10)	DP Descriptor (Grades 11 & 12)
Grade 1 Very Poor	Minimal achievement in terms of the objectives.	Produces work of very limited quality . Conveys many significant misunderstandings or lacks understanding of most concepts and contexts. Very rarely demonstrates critical or creative thinking. Very inflexible , rarely using knowledge or skills.
Grade 2 Poor	Very limited achievement against all the objectives. The student has difficulty in understanding the required knowledge and skills and is unable to apply them fully in normal situations, even with support .	Produces work of limited quality . Expresses misunderstandings or significant gaps in understanding for many concepts and contexts. Infrequently demonstrates critical or creative thinking. Generally inflexible in the use of knowledge and skills, infrequently applying knowledge and skills.
Grade 3 Mediocre	Limited achievement against most of the objectives, or clear difficulties in some areas. The student demonstrates a limited understanding of the required knowledge and skills and is only able to apply them fully in normal situations with support .	Produces work of an acceptable quality . Communicates basic understanding of many concepts and contexts, with occasionally significant misunderstandings or gaps. Begins to demonstrate some basic critical and creative thinking. Is often inflexible in the use of knowledge and skills, requiring support even in familiar classroom situations.
Grade 4 Satisfactory	A good general understanding of the required knowledge and skills, and the ability to apply them effectively in normal situations. There is occasional evidence of the skills of analysis, synthesis and evaluation.	Produces good-quality work . Communicates basic understanding of most concepts and contexts with few misunderstandings and minor gaps. Often demonstrates basic critical and creative thinking. Uses knowledge and skills with some flexibility in familiar classroom situations, but requires support in unfamiliar situations.
Grade 5 Good	A consistent and thorough understanding of the required knowledge and skills, and the ability to apply them in a variety of situations. The student generally shows evidence of analysis, synthesis and evaluation where appropriate and occasionally demonstrates originality and insight.	Produces generally high-quality work . Communicates secure understanding of concepts and contexts. Demonstrates critical and creative thinking, sometimes with sophistication. Uses knowledge and skills in familiar classroom and real-world situations and, with support , some unfamiliar real-world situations.
Grade 6 Very Good	A consistent and thorough understanding of the required knowledge and skills, and the ability to apply them in a wide variety of situations. Consistent evidence of analysis, synthesis and evaluation is shown where appropriate. The student generally demonstrates originality and insight.	Produces high-quality , occasionally innovative work. Communicates extensive understanding of concepts and contexts. Demonstrates critical and creative thinking, frequently with sophistication. Uses knowledge and skills in familiar and unfamiliar classroom and real-world situations, often with independence
Grade 7 Excellent	A consistent and thorough understanding of the required knowledge and skills, and the ability to apply them almost faultlessly in a wide variety of situations. Consistent evidence of analysis, synthesis and evaluation is shown where appropriate. The student consistently demonstrates originality and insight and always produces work of high quality .	Produces high-quality, frequently innovative work . Communicates comprehensive, nuanced understanding of concepts and contexts. Consistently demonstrates sophisticated critical and creative thinking . Frequently transfers knowledge and skills with independence and expertise in a variety of complex classroom and real-world situations .

Each MYP student will earn a FINAL GRADE from this scale in each of their 8 subjects (and in the Personal Project in Year 5 (10th grade). This grade is calculated by using the boundaries created by the IB for the TOTAL of the student's most consistent score on assessments of each of the specific criteria in each subject.

Approaches to Learning (CReSTS)

Communication, Research, Social, Thinking, Self-Management

Collaboration	Communication	Organization	Self-management	Reflection	Information & Media Literacy	Critical & creative Thinking	Social	Transfer
EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE	EE ME AE BE

EE- Exceeds Expectations

ME – Meets Expectations

AE – Approaching Expectations

BE – Below Expectations

Criteria and Rubrics for MYP (Grades 9 & 10)

[Overview of MYP Grading](#)

[Language and Literature](#)

[Language Acquisition](#)

[Individuals and Societies](#)

[Design Technology](#)

[Health and Physical Education](#)

[Sciences](#)

[Mathematics](#)

[The Arts](#)

[The Personal Project](#)

Grade Descriptors for each DP subject (Grade 11 & 12)

[Group 1: Language and Literature](#)

[Group 2: Language Acquisition](#)

[Group 3: Individuals and Societies](#)

[Group 4: Sciences](#)

[Group 5: Mathematics](#)

[Group 6: Arts](#)

[TOK](#)

[Extended Essay](#)

The “best-fit” approach

The best-fit approach relies on teachers using **criterion-related assessment practices** effectively. When assessing a student’s work, teachers should assess each descriptor strand individually (starting with level 0) until they reach a descriptor that describes an achievement level that the work being assessed has not attained. The work is therefore best described by the **preceding descriptor**. Once this has been completed for each descriptor strand being assessed, an overall picture of the student’s achievement will emerge.

Where it is not clearly evident which overall level descriptor should be awarded, teachers must **use their judgment** to select the level descriptor that best matches the **student’s work** overall. The “best-fit” approach allows teachers to select the achievement level that **best describes the piece of work being assessed**. It is important to remember when doing so that a student does **not** have to achieve against all of the individual descriptor strands in a band level to be awarded an overall level in that band.

If the work is a strong example of achievement in a band, the teacher should give it the higher achievement level in the band. If the work is a weak example of achievement in that band, the teacher should give it the lower achievement level in the band.

Only the piece of work being assessed may be scored. The teacher cannot adjust the achievement level a piece work has demonstrated because a piece of work was submitted late or for any reasons not addressed by the actual descriptor in the rubric.